Neoga Jr High School Neoga CUSD 3 Neoga, ILLINOIS

GRADES: 78



State and federal laws require public school districts to release report cards to the public each year.

Starting in 2009, charter school information is included in district statistics.

STUDENTS

RACIAL/E	RACIAL/ETHNIC BACKGROUND AND OTHER INFORMATION														
	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian /Pacific Islander	American	Two or More Races	Percent Low- Income	Percent Limited- English- Proficient	Percent IEP	High Sch. Dropout Rate	Chronic Truancy Rate	Mobility Rate	Attendance Rate	Total Enrollment
School	96.5	2.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	36.8	0.0	13.2		0.0	10.7	94.7	114
District	97.3	0.9	0.3	0.5	0.0	0.3	0.8	35.9	0.0	19.6		0.6	10.8	95.0	766
State	51.0	18.0	23.6	4.2	0.1	0.3	2.8	49.0	9.4	13.6		8.6	13.1	94.4	2,066,692

Low-income students come from families receiving public aid; live in institutions for neglected or delinquent children; are supported in foster homes with public funds; or are eligible to receive free or reduced-price lunches. **IEP** Students are those students eligible to receive special education services.

Limited-English-proficient students are those students eligible for transitional bilingual programs. **Mobility rate** is based on the number of times students enroll in or leave a school during the school year. **Chronic truants** are students who are absent from school without valid cause for 9 or more of the last 180 school days.

Total Enrollment is based on Home School.

INSTRUCTIONAL SETTING

PARENTAL CONTACT*											
	Percent										
School	87.4										
District	93.0										
State	95.3										

STUDENT-TO-STAFF RATIOS											
Pupil- Teacher Elementary	Pupil- Teacher Secondary	Pupil- Certified Staff	Pupil- Administrator								
	-		-								
15.7	13.8	11.3	153.2								
18.9	18.8	13.7	205.0								

^{*} Parental contact includes parent-teacher conferences, parental visits to school, school visits to home, telephone conversations, and written correspondence.

AVERAGE CLASS SIZE (as of the first school day in May)												
Grades	К	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9 - 12		
School District State								17.2 17.2 21.3	14.4 14.4 21.5			

TIME DEVOTED TO TEACHING CORE SUBJECTS (Minutes Per Day)													
	Ma	athematic	cs	Science			English/Language Arts			Social Science			
Grades	3	6	8	3	6	8	3	6	8	3	6	8	
School			44			44			88			44	
District			44			44			88			44	
State			54			46			92			44	


2


TEACHER	INFORMATION	(Full-Time E	quivalents)								
	Native Hawaiian/ Two or Pacific American More White Black Hispanic Asian islander Indian Races Unknown										Total Number
District State	98.2 83.3	0.0 7.1	0.0 5.3	0.0 1.3	0.0 0.1	0.0 0.2	1.8 0.8	0.0 2.0	22.8 23.1	77.2 76.9	57 127,830

TEACHER	TEACHER INFORMATION (Continued)													
	Average Teaching Experience (Years)	% of Teachers with Bachelor's Degrees	% of Teachers with Master's & Above	% of Teachers with Emergency or Provisional Credentials	% of Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers									
School				0.0	0.0									
District	11.1	73.7	26.3	0.0	0.0									
State	12.9	37.8	61.7	0.6	0.7									

Some teacher/administrator data are not collected at the school level.

SCHOOL DISTRICT FINANCES





REVENUE BY SOURCE 2010-11											
	District	District %	State %								
Local Property Taxes	\$2,104,310	29.8	58.2								
Other Local Funding	\$416,870	5.9	5.1								
General State Aid	\$3,212,906	45.5	17.1								
Other State Funding	\$686,146	9.7	9.5								
Federal Funding	\$642,521	9.1	10.1								
TOTAL	\$7,062,753										

EXPENDITURE BY FUND 2010-11											
	District	District %	State %								
Education	\$5,055,603	52.7	73.7								
Operations & Maintenance	\$630,893	6.6	5.9								
Transportation	\$457,665	4.8	3.8								
Debt Service	\$1,590,668	16.6	7.4								
Tort	\$109,816	1.1	1.2								
Municipal Retirement/											
Social Security	\$261,354	2.7	2.0								
Fire Prevention & Safety	\$0	0.0	8.0								
Capital Projects	\$1,485,046	15.5	5.1								
TOTAL	\$9,591,045										

OTHER FINANCIAL INDICATORS											
	2009 Equalized	2009 Total School	2010-11 Instructional	2010-11 Operating							
	Assessed Valuation	Tax Rate	Expenditure	Expenditure							
	per Pupil	per \$100	per Pupil	per Pupil							
District	\$83,535	3.44	\$4,828	\$8,853							
State	**	**	\$6,824	\$11,664							

^{**} Due to the way Illinois school districts are configured, state averages for equalized assessed valuation per pupil and total school tax rate per \$100 are not provided.

Equalized assessed valuation includes all computed property values upon which a district's local tax rate is calculated.


Instructional expenditure per pupil includes the direct costs of teaching pupils or the interaction between teachers and pupils.

Operating expenditure per pupil includes the gross operating cost of a school district excluding summer school, adult education, bond principal retired, and capital expenditures.

ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE


OVERALL STUDENT PERFORMANCE

These charts present the overall percentages of state test scores categorized as meeting or exceeding the Illinois Learning Standards for your school, district, and the state. They respresent your school's performance in reading, mathematics, and science.



Total school tax rate is a district's total tax rate as it appears on local property tax bills.


4




5

ISAT PERFORMANCE

These charts provide information on attainment of the Illinois Learning Standards. They show the percents of student scores meeting or exceeding Standards for the grades and subjects tested on ISAT.





PERFORMANCE ON STATE ASSESSMENTS

Federal law requires that student achievement results for reading, mathematics, and science for schools providing Title I services be reported to the general public.

The Illinois Standards Achievement Test (ISAT) is administered to students in grades 3 through 8. The Prairie State Achievement Examination (PSAE) is administered to students in grade 11. The Illinois Alternate Assessment (IAA) is administered to students with disabilities whose Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) indicate that participation in the ISAT or PSAE would not be appropriate.

Students with disabilities have an IEP (No Child Left Behind Act). An IEP is a written plan for a child with a disability who is eligible to receive special education services under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act.

Reading and Mathematics are tested in grades 3 through 8 and 11. Science is tested in grades 4, 7, and 11.

In order to protect students' identities, test data for groups of fewer than ten students are not reported.

6

PERCE	PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS NOT TESTED IN STATE TESTING PROGRAMS FOR READING														
			Ge	nder	Racial/Ethnic Background										
		All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian /Pacific islander	American	Two or More Races	LEP	Migrant	Students with Disabilities	Econo- mically Disadv- antaged
School -	*Enrollment	114	60	54	111	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	16	43
	Reading	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0									0.0	0.0
District	*Enrollment	361	188	173	351	3	1	1	0	2	3	0	0	53	150
	Reading	0.6	0.0	1.2	0.6									0.0	1.3
	*Enrollment	1,072,304	548,690	523,352	547,900	192,977	251,440	45,188	977	3,177	29,968	75,031	261	146,113	531,157
State F	Reading	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.7	0.4	0.3	0.6	0.6	0.4	0.6	2.3	0.9	0.5

^{*} Enrollment as reported during the testing windows for grades 3 - 8 and 11.

Number of LEP Students who have attended schools in the U.S. for less than 12 months and are not assessed on the State's reading/language arts test: 0

PERCE	PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS NOT TESTED IN STATE TESTING PROGRAMS FOR MATHEMATICS														
			Ge	nder	Racial/Ethnic Background										
		All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian /Pacific islander	American	Two or More Races	LEP	Migrant	Students with Disabilities	Econo- mically Disadv- antaged
School -	*Enrollment	114	60	54	111	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	16	43
	Mathematics	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0									0.0	0.0
District	*Enrollment	361	188	173	351	3	1	1	0	2	3	0	0	53	150
	Mathematics	0.6	0.0	1.2	0.6									0.0	1.3
	*Enrollment	1,073,764	549,462	524,040	548,234	193,064	252,013	45,638	983	3,180	29,975	76,502	271	146,133	532,214
State	Mathematics	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.7	0.4	0.2	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.3	1.1	0.9	0.5

 $^{^{\}star}$ Enrollment as reported during the testing windows for grades 3 - 8 and 11.

PERCE	NTAGE OF S	TUDENTS	NOT TEST	ED IN STA	TE TESTIN	IG PROGR	AMS FOR	SCIENCE							
			Ge	nder		F	Racial/Ethni	c Backgro	ound						
	lue III	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian /Pacific islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	LEP	Migrant	Students with Disabilities	Econo- mically Disadv- antaged
School	*Enrollment	59	28	31	58	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	23
	Science	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0										0.0
District	*Enrollment	159	77	82	155	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	23	57
	Science	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0									0.0	0.0
State	*Enrollment	456,721	232,992	223,651	237,912	81,780	103,594	19,211	393	1,359	12,254	25,859	107	61,941	217,988
	Science	0.7	0.8	0.6	0.5	1.4	0.7	0.3	1.0	0.9	0.7	0.8	0.9	1.6	1.0

^{*} Enrollment as reported during the testing windows for grades 4, 7, and 11.

ILLINOIS STANDARDS ACHIEVEMENT TEST (ISAT)

The following tables show the percentages of student scores in each of four performance levels. These levels were established with the help of Illinois educators who teach the grade levels and learning areas tested. Due to rounding, the sum of the percentages in the four performance levels may not always equal 100.

- Student work demonstrates limited knowledge and skills in the subject. Because of major gaps in learning, students apply Level 1 -- Academic Warning knowledge and skills ineffectively.
- Level 2 -- Below Standards -Student work demonstrates basic knowledge and skills in the subject. However, because of gaps in learning, students apply knowledge and skills in limited ways.
- Student work demonstrates proficient knowledge and skills in the subject. Students effectively apply knowledge and skills Level 3 -- Meets Standards to solve problems.
- Student work demonstrates advanced knowledge and skills in the subject. Students creatively apply knowledge and skills Level 4 -- Exceeds Standards to solve problems and evaluate the results.

Grade 7

Grade 7 - All

		Reading			Mathematics				Science			
Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
School	0.0	24.6	52.6	22.8	1.8	3.5	66.7	28.1	3.5	7.0	56.1	33.3
District	0.0	24.6	52.6	22.8	1.8	3.5	66.7	28.1	3.5	7.0	56.1	33.3
State	0.4	21.5	58.0	20.1	1.4	14.0	53.5	31.1	8.6	11.6	54.6	25.3

Grau	e 1	- 5	GIIC	U

			Reading				Mathe	matics		Science			
	Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Male	School	0.0	37.0	44.4	18.5	3.7	7.4	74.1	14.8	7.4	14.8	55.6	22.2
	District	0.0	37.0	44.4	18.5	3.7	7.4	74.1	14.8	7.4	14.8	55.6	22.2
	State	0.6	25.0	56.9	17.5	1.8	15.6	51.6	31.0	10.0	11.7	51.3	26.9
Female	School	0.0	13.3	60.0	26.7	0.0	0.0	60.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	56.7	43.3
	District	0.0	13.3	60.0	26.7	0.0	0.0	60.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	56.7	43.3
	State	0.2	17.8	59.3	22.7	1.0	12.3	55.6	31.1	7.0	11.4	58.1	23.5

8

Grade 7 - Racial/Ethnic Background

Sidde i	raoiai/Etiiiio			ding			Mather	natics			Scie	ence	
	Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
White	School	0.0	25.0	51.8	23.2	1.8	3.6	66.1	28.6	3.6	7.1	55.4	33.9
	District	0.0	25.0	51.8	23.2	1.8	3.6	66.1	28.6	3.6	7.1	55.4	33.9
	State	0.2	13.9	58.9	27.0	0.8	9.0	50.8	39.4	4.4	7.1	53.1	35.5
Black	School District State	0.9	36.6	54.6	7.9	2.9	25.9	57.1	14.1	17.4	20.4	54.5	7.7
Hispanic	School District State	0.5	29.2	59.7	10.7	1.6	17.9	60.7	19.8	12.1	16.1	59.7	12.1
Asian	School District State	0.2	8.5	53.6	37.7	0.7	3.6	32.0	63.8	3.3	4.6	47.0	45.1
Native Haw Islander	vaiian/Pacific School District State	0.0	17.1	57.7	25.2	0.9	4.5	55.4	39.3	8.0	6.3	59.8	25.9
American li	ndian School District State	0.0	23.6	60.1	16.2	1.5	16.2	56.0	26.3	9.1	12.7	58.1	20.1
Two or Mor	re Races School District State	0.2	18.4	57.4	24.0	1.2	12.6	52.3	33.9	7.1	10.5	51.6	30.8

Grade 7 - Economically Disadvantaged

			Rea	ding			Mathe	ematics		Science			
	Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Di	Price Lunch chool istrict tate	0.0 0.0 0.7	28.6 28.6 32.2	61.9 61.9 57.8	9.5 9.5 9.4	4.8 4.8 2.2	4.8 4.8 21.3	66.7 66.7 59.2	23.8 23.8 17.2	9.5 9.5 13.7	9.5 9.5 17.3	52.4 52.4 57.4	28.6 28.6 11.6
Di	chool istrict tate	0.0 0.0 0.1	22.2 22.2 11.1	47.2 47.2 58.3	30.6 30.6 30.5	0.0 0.0 0.6	2.8 2.8 6.8	66.7 66.7 47.9	30.6 30.6 44.6	0.0 0.0 3.5	5.6 5.6 6.0	58.3 58.3 51.9	36.1 36.1 38.6

Grade 8

Grade 8 - All

		Read	ding		Mathematics				
Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
School District State	0.0 0.0 0.1	22.2 22.2 13.6	70.4 70.4 76.1	7.4 7.4 10.1	0.0 0.0 0.3	16.7 16.7 14.7	50.0 50.0 52.4	33.3 33.3 32.6	

Grade 8 - Gender

			Rea	ding		Mathematics					
	Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4		
Male	School	0.0	21.9	68.8	9.4	0.0	21.9	50.0	28.1		
	District	0.0	21.9	68.8	9.4	0.0	21.9	50.0	28.1		
	State	0.2	17.2	74.3	8.3	0.4	16.8	50.1	32.7		
Female	School	0.0	22.7	72.7	4.5	0.0	9.1	50.0	40.9		
	District	0.0	22.7	72.7	4.5	0.0	9.1	50.0	40.9		
	State	0.1	10.0	78.0	12.0	0.2	12.5	54.7	32.5		

9

Grade 8 - Racial/Ethnic Background

			Rea	ding			Mather	matics	
	Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
White	School District State	0.0 0.0 0.1	23.1 23.1 9.1	71.2 71.2 77.0	5.8 5.8 13.8	0.0 0.0 0.2	17.3 17.3 9.4	50.0 50.0 48.8	32.7 32.7 41.5
Black	School District State	0.3	23.6	73.3	2.8	0.7	27.6	58.1	13.7
Hispanic	School District State	0.1	17.3	77.6	4.9	0.3	18.1	59.7	21.9
Asian	School District State	0.0	5.2	70.1	24.7	0.1	4.7	32.0	63.2
Native Haw Islander	raiian/Pacific School District State	0.0	9.6	78.1	12.4	0.6	7.3	50.0	42.1
American I	ndian School District State	0.2	19.0	73.4	7.4	1.1	21.0	53.2	24.8
Two or Moi	re Races School District State	0.1	13.5	74.5	11.9	0.3	14.9	51.0	33.9

Grade 8 - Economically Disadvantaged

		Rea	ding		Mathematics					
Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4		
Free/Reduced Price Lunch										
School	0.0	40.0	55.0	5.0	0.0	25.0	60.0	15.0		
District	0.0	40.0	55.0	5.0	0.0	25.0	60.0	15.0		
State	0.2	20.8	75.1	3.9	0.5	22.5	59.0	18.1		
Not Eligible										
School	0.0	11.8	79.4	8.8	0.0	11.8	44.1	44.1		
District	0.0	11.8	79.4	8.8	0.0	11.8	44.1	44.1		
State	0.1	7.0	77.0	15.9	0.2	7.5	46.2	46.2		

2012 ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS (AYP) STATUS REPORT

Is this school making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)?	No	
Is this school making AYP in Reading?	No	:
Is this school making AYP in Mathematics?	Yes	:

Has this school been identified for School Improvement according to the AYP specifications of the federal No Child Left Behind Act?						
2012-13 Federal Improvement Status						
2012-13 State Improvement Status Academic Early Warning Year 1						

	Percent Tested on State Tests				Percent Meeting/Exceeding Standards *						Other Indicators			
	Reading		Mathematics		Reading			Mathematics			Attendance Rate		5-YEAR Graduation Rate	
	%	Met AYP	%	Met AYP	%	Safe Harbor Target **	Met AYP	%	Safe Harbor Target **	Met AYP	%	Met AYP	%	Met AYP
State AYP Minimum Target	95.0		95.0		85.0			85.0			91.0		82.0	
All	100.0	Yes	100.0	Yes	78.2		No	90.0		Yes	94.7	Yes		
White Black Hispanic Asian Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander American Indian Two or More Races	100.0	Yes	100.0	Yes	77.6	75.8	Yes	89.7		Yes	94.7			
LEP Students with Disabilities Economically Disadvantaged														

Four Conditions Are Required For Making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP):

- 1. At least 95% tested in reading and mathematics for every student group. If the current year participation rate is less than 95%, this condition may be met if the average of the current and preceding year rates is at least 95%, or if the average of the current and two preceding years is at least 95%. Only actual participation rates are printed. If the participation rate printed is less than 95% and yet this school makes AYP, it means that the 95% condition was met by averaging.
- 2. At least 85% meeting/exceeding standards in reading and mathematics for every group. For any group with less than 85% meeting/exceeding standards, a 95% confidence interval was applied. Subgroups may meet this condition through Safe Harbor provisions. ***
- 3. At least 91% attendance rate for non-high schools and at least 82% graduation rate for high schools.

^{*} Includes only students enrolled as of 05/01/2011.

^{**} Safe Harbor Targets of 85% or above are not printed.

^{***} Subgroups with fewer than 45 students are not reported. Safe Harbor only applies to subgroups of 45 or more. In order for Safe Harbor to apply, a subgroup must decrease by 10% the percentage of scores that did not meet state standards from the previous year plus meet the other indicators (attendance rate for non-high schools and graduation rate for high schools) for the subgroup. For subgroups that do not meet their Safe Harbor Targets, a 75% confidence interval is applied. Safe Harbor allows schools an alternate method to meet subgroup minimum targets on achievement.